IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO. 39 OF 1991

Smt. S. Bhagya Laxmi ... Appellant
V/s.
Union of India & Ors. ... Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 41 OF 1991

Shri S.V. Ramanna Murthi ... Appellant
V/s.
Union of India & Ors. ... Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 42 OF 1991

Anuradha Murthy ... Appellant
V/s.
Union of India & Ors. ... Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 43 OF 1991

Shri S.V. Ramanna Murthi ... Appellant
V/s.
Union of India & Ors. ... Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO.821 OF 1991

P.V. Ramnathan ... Appellant
V/s.
Union of India & Ors. ... Respondent

Mr. C.M. Jha for the appellant.
Mr. Anand Samant for the respondents.

CORAM : ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.
DATED : 19th October, 2006

P.C.

1. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents moved this application based on a decision in LPA No. 724 of 2003 dated 15th June, 2005 and pointed out that in this particular matter the Division Bench (in which I was also a member) ordered payment of interest or the compensation amount from the date of decision of the Tribunal and not from the date of the application. The facts are not at all similar.

2. The order of granting interest on compensation from the date of application need no interference in the facts and circumstances of the case and also in view of the Apex Court decision as relied and referred in Para 30 i.e. TNT Transport Corporation V/s. S. Rajapriya, 2005 (6) S.C.C. 236.

3. Any way, there is no case made out for speaking to the minute of the order even otherwise.

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]